Benchmark Reporting – Round Two

Elizabeth Lukanen, MPH

State Health Access Data Assistance Center

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN

SHAP Grantee Meeting

Washington, D.C.

January 13, 2011

SHAP Benchmark Reporting

- Comparable enrollment data and information about lessons learned
- Used to demonstrate success of SHAP and provide lessons for implementation of Affordable Care Act (ACA)
- Collected bi-annually in concurrence with HRSA reporting
- Round 1 successfully collected in July, 2010 – Thank you!
- Round 2 will focus on very similar information and will be collected in February, 2011

Significant Changes from Previous Benchmark Collection

- New enrollment AND Current/Point-in-time enrollment

  - Total ever enrolled through 2/28/2011
  - Current enrollment on 2/28/2011

- Information about disenrollment

- SHAP program position relative to state's ACA implementation

- Grantees will have more lead time and more time to report

- Timing should allow you to use this information in your HRSA reporting

shadac
State Health Access Data Assistance Center

2221 University Ave SE, Suite 345
Minneapolis, MN 55414
p: 612-624-4802
f: 612-624-1493
www.shadac.org

SHAP Benchmark Indicators – TEMPLATE

Please answer the following questions about each initiative that your state is conducting as part of your SHAP grant. If progress on an initiative hasn't started or has now ended, please use the impact statement section to indicate the status.

<u>Unless otherwise indicated, the information requested on this form should be cumulative and describe activities since the start of the SHAP program.</u>

Contact Person:
Contact Person's Email:

Coverage Initiative EXAMPLE
Date SHAP Enrollment Opened:
Total New Enrollment (Ever Enrolled) since SHAP enrollment opened through 2/28/2011:
Current (Point-in-Time) SHAP Program Enrollment on 2/28/2011:
Impact Statements

1. Describe pace of enrollment and the distribution across targeted populations (age, race, employment, income, etc.).

2. If enrollment is lower than projected, please offer some likely reasons why (e.g., program delays? reasons given for not enrolling?).

3. Total number disenrolled since SHAP enrollment opened?
   Describe reasons for enrollee disenrollment:

Other Initiative EXAMPLE
Impact Statement (3-4 sentences)
Use metrics that best describe the impact of the program (e.g., Web hits, call volume, number of referrals, employers in program, media spots, progress, program participation)? Describe how progress has aligned with stated goals.

Coverage Initiative Information

Coverage Initiative EXAMPLE
Date SHAP Enrollment Opened:
Total New Enrollment (Ever Enrolled) since SHAP enrollment opened through 2/28/2011:
Current (Point-in-Time) SHAP Program Enrollment on 2/28/2011:

Impact Statements

1. Describe pace of enrollment and the distribution across targeted populations (age, race, employment, income, etc.).

2. If enrollment is lower than projected, please offer some likely reasons why (e.g., program delays? reasons given for not enrolling?).

3. Total number disenrolled since SHAP enrollment opened?
   Describe reasons for enrollee disenrollment:

Other Initiative Information

Other Initiative EXAMPLE
Impact Statement (3-4 sentences) *Use metrics that best describe the impact of the program (e.g., Web hits, call volume, number of referrals, employers in program, media spots, progress, program participation)? Describe how progress has aligned with stated goals.*

Additional Benchmark Information

Supplemental Enrollment
What residual health care coverage enrollment resulted from the SHAP initiatives? *Report on other ways that your state's SHAP activities resulted in coverage gains in non-SHAP funded coverage programs. For example, if your SHAP program screened individuals for non-SHAP coverage programs, report the number of referrals made and how many of these referrals resulted in individuals getting coverage.*
Barriers/Challenges and Lessons Learned
What implementation barriers/challenges have you faced and what lessons have you learned during SHAP implementation? *Include implementation barriers and lessons as they relate to:*   • *Implementation of the Affordable Care Act*   • *Recent election results or political shifts*   • *State budget issues*   • *Etc.*
How is your SHAP program positioned relative to your state's ACA implementation efforts (e.g., staff overlap with SHAP and implementation planning, SHAP ties to exchange planning or other reform activities, SHAP not tied directly to reform efforts)?

Benchmark Timeline

- ## End of January
  - Confirm SHAP initiatives with SHADAC

- ## Early February
  - SHADAC sends new Benchmark form to Evaluation contact
  - Enrollment reported through 2/28/2011

- ## Mid-March
  - Benchmark form due to SHADAC

SHAP Data and Evaluation Technical Assistance

- Help grantees identify data sources for benchmarks

- Consult on outcome indicators, data sources, data availability, and evaluation methods

- Assist with small area estimation

- Advise on survey development

- Support development of Return on Investment (ROI) methods

Contact Information

Minnesota SHAP Project Team:

- Lynn Blewett, Ph.D.

- Kelli Johnson, MBA

- Elizabeth Lukanen, MPH

    * Primary contact – elukanen@umn.edu, 612-626-1537

Website: www.shadac.org/shap

State Health Access Data Assistance Center

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN

www.shadac.org

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA®